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Abstract—In this investigation, we report the synthesis of Goethite-NFs/CNTs nanocomposites using hydrothermal method at optimized growth condition. These nanostructured products have been characterized in order to identify their physico-chemical properties by different techniques, such as X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Raman Spectroscopy, High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), Thermal analysis (TGA/DTA) and UV-Vis Spectroscopy. The obtained Goethite nanofibers (NFs) have shown structured triangular base nanofibers with diameter in the range [181 - 363 nm]. Using nested and twisted CNTs (MWNTs type) but fairly homogeneous in diameter around 48 nm, the formation of an assembly of two forms (MWNTs and iron oxide Nanofibers) in nanocomposite configuration confirms the significant improvement of their physico-chemical properties, like the increase in their electrical conductivity proven by their obtained gap energy $E_g$ from 3.12 to 2.50 eV. Consequently, the reached results prove clearly that this kind of iron oxide-NFs/MWNTs based nanocomposites can be excellent candidate as electroactive nanomaterials for energy storage application.


I. INTRODUCTION

Energy field is one of the most important prospects that have been highlighted in last years. Therefore, the energy storage is considered as nature friend and in order to face the ever-assisted energy crisis, scientists are seeking to find storage devices with high efficiency and low cost production [1, 2].

Additionally, transition metal oxides based on Ni, Mn, Fe or Co, with variable oxidation states are among the incoming materials that have many applications due to their multiple advantages, such as: optical and energy-storage devices, biomedical and biotechnological fields [3-5]. Nowadays, iron oxides/hydroxides are widely and increasingly used in many applications, much more in biomedical, waste water treatment, energy storage and optical devices due to their super-paramagnetic, safety, low cost synthesis and high electrical conductivity properties [3, 5-8]. More specifically, among them there is hematite ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), maghemite ($\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$), bixbyte (b-Fe$_2$O$_3$) and wustite (FeO) as oxides; whereas hydroxides like oxy-hydroxide (Fe(OH)$_3$), Fe(OH)$_2$ and mineral goethite (FeOOH) [9-11]. Hematite is thermodynamically the more stable mineral than others in the presence of oxygen [11, 12] and evinces, strong electron–electron correlations and electron–photon coupling showing interesting optical properties due to their unique complex electronic structures [13]. However, Magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) is used in many fields due to their unique nature and it contains both secondary (II) and tertiary iron (III) [14, 15]. For iron based hydroxides case, Goethite ($\alpha$-FeOOH) is one of the most widely spread minerals in the soil, with an orthorhombic structure illustrating the most stable iron hydroxide. It turns into hematite at 300°C [16-18].

Moreover, Goethite is used with reduced Graphene Oxide to remove lead from wastewater via adsorption technique. The lead ion is considered one of the most dangerous metals, as it affects several systems, such as nervous system, digestive system, kidneys, heart and blood vessels [19]. On the other hand, Biochar-supported Al-Substituted Goethite (BAG) is used also as a new absorbent in order to get rid of polluted nitrates in groundwater [20]. More importantly, Huan Xu and al. found that the specific capacitance of alpha-iron oxy-hydroxide/reduced Graphene oxide ($\alpha$-FeOOH/rGO) composites is around 452 F.g$^{-1}$ obtained at a current density 1 A.g$^{-1}$ [21]. On the other hand, Rasmita Barika found that the specific capacitance is equal to 160 F.g$^{-1}$ for $\alpha$-FeOOH [22]. Furthermore, nanocomposites are a combination of massive matrix with nanometric reinforcement with new properties resulting from structural and chemical combination. Thus, in this investigation we report the successful fabrication of Goethite-NFs/CNTs nanocomposites using hydrothermal technique at optimized growth condition. These nanostructured products have been characterized in order to...
identify their physico-chemical properties for specific energy application fields. Consequently, oxygen functionalized MWNTs (O-MWNTs) can be a real choice because of their low-cost local production and their lower impact on the environment compared with other materials in different application field. In addition, the local synthesized MWNTs used in this work possess interesting characteristics (structural, textual and chemical) for later application, such as: high purity around 98%, high selectivity, oxygen based functional groups and specific surface area around 150 m²/g.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A. Goethite/CNTs synthesis

The experimental protocol implemented during the synthesis of iron oxide based products with and without the presence of CNTs (MWNTs type synthesized in LEREC laboratory [23]) using hydrothermal process goes through the following stages: firstly, we dispersed an iron precursor (FeCl₂.4H₂O) (with or without CNTs) in distilled water under magnetic stirring "250 rpm" for 30 min. Then, a quantity of NaOH was added slowly under stirring for 10 min. Secondly, the resulting orange (or blacked orange in the presence of CNTs) solution was introduced into an 40 mL Teflon-lined hydrothermal autoclave system which has been kept at 120°C in a furnace for 18 h. Lastly, after cooling down naturally to room temperature, the obtained products were always washed, rinsed with distilled water and filtered several times until a neutral pH.

B. Characterization techniques

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) spectra have been obtained through the X' Pert PRO diffractometer (PANalytical BV, Netherlands) with theta/theta geometry operating from an anticaathode source Co-Kα (λ = 1.79 Å) at 35 kV voltage and 50 mA current in the 2θ range [5-90°]. Raman spectra of the samples were recorded on a Jobin Yvon Horiba TX 6400 micro-Raman spectrometer excited with 514 nm line of an argon laser with power energy 0.33 mW. The surface morphology of the pure Goethite (Fe₂O₃.H₂O), carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and their nanocomposite (Goethite nanofibers/CNTs) were analyzed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) Zeiss Ultra plus 55 at 2 kV accelerating voltage and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) carried out with a JEOL JEM-2100F microscope operated at 200 kV (Akishima-shi, Japan). Thermal analysis (TGA/DTA) was carried out using a Thermo Gravimetric Analysis instrument (TA Instruments Q600 Simultaneous (DSC/TG) analyzer). The temperature was increased from room temperature to 1000 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C.min⁻¹ under air atmosphere. However, the optical properties were performed by measuring the absorbance using UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Technical GENESYS 10S) with double beam in order to study the energy band gap of these products.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

X-ray diffraction is most commonly used as a structural identification tool to characterize and to study the crystalline appearance of the synthesized products using the hydrothermal technique. Figure 1 illustrates the typical XRD patterns of synthesized Goethite nanostructures and their nanocomposite “Goethite/CNTs” using a free template hydrothermal method at optimized conditions. These diffractograms have been identified through their most intense peaks which are always indexed using a standard (JCPDS) database. They clearly confirm the formation of an hydrated iron oxide phase (Fe₂O₃.H₂O) equivalent of two Goethite (FeOOH) and its nanocomposite with MWNTs known via their characteristic peak at 26.77° corresponding (002) crystalline plan [00-058-1638] [24-26].

However, the characteristic peaks of the Goethite type iron oxide phase (Fe₂O₃.H₂O) for these two products are indexed to around 21.46°, 33.17° and 36.65° corresponding to the crystal planes (110), (130) and (111), respectively, which agrees with the previous results [27, 28]. This oxide phase has an orthorhombic structure according to the JCPDS card [00-002-0272]. Moreover, this Goethite phase nanostructure in the nanocomposite case showed a slight shift in the peaks position, which confirms its contact with MWNTs as a second phase.

In addition, the crystalline parameters of this synthesized hydrated iron oxide (Goethite) phase were calculated and shown in table 1 with values close to those of the JCPDS card [00-002-0272] as an identification reference. On the other hand, the estimated crystallinity degree of pure Goethite is around 23%; whereas it is around 16%, in the case of their nanocomposite with MWNTs.

In the same way, Raman spectroscopy is another technique used to obtain more information about the graphitization and the composition characteristics of the synthesized material. The Raman spectra of these products are illustrated in figure 2. As a result, in the case of the nanocomposite (Goethite/MWNTs), the Raman spectra present the main Raman vibration peaks around 219, 289, 372 and 689 cm⁻¹ corresponding the stretch bond vibrations found in Fe–O, Fe–OH, Fe–O–Fe and Fe–O oxides, respectively [3, 29, 30].

While, D and G band characteristic peaks corresponding the MWNTs formation are found around 1350/1575 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2a) and 1348/1583cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2b), in pure (MWNTs) and nanocomposite (Goethite/MWNTs), respectively. The G band is a characteristic of the hybridization of carbon atoms
produced nanocomposite selectivity and purity. We can see two main oxidation peaks at 271 and 551 °C indicating the effect of oxidation temperature, as represented in figure 3. We can perform thermogravimetric analysis (TGA/DTA) under air to estimate the concentration in the synthesized nanocomposite, we have also estimated by XRD analysis. Furthermore, we can notice an increase in the I_D/I_G ratio of selective MWNTs which present homogeneous cylindrical nanostructure and their contact. Table II regroups the characteristics with and without Goethite nanostructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT</th>
<th>D (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>G (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>I_D/I_G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWNTs</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goethite/MWNTs</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, we can notice an increase in the I_D/I_G ratio estimating the defect to twice in the nanocomposite (Goethite/MWNTs) case indicating their contact, which proves thereby the decrease found in the crystallinity degree estimated by XRD analysis.

The first peak corresponding temperature inferior to 300 °C clearly confirms the Goethite (hydrated iron oxide) transformation into iron oxide; however, 551 °C confirm the functionalized MWNTs combustion [34]. Moreover, this thermal spectra show that this nanocomposite is thermally stable beyond 600 °C. From TGA, the first loss around 6 wt.% is attributed to dehydroxylation of Goethite due to their transformation into hematite, as reported previously in the literature [35]. Nevertheless, the second loss around 20 wt.% is attributed to the oxidation of MWNTs by atmospheric oxygen or iron oxide second phase at around 551 °C which is also consistent with work found in Debski's team publication [26].

From these TA analysis curves, we can conclude that this product is composed of around 70 wt.% Goethite (metal oxide) and 30 wt.% MWNTs (carbon).

**Morphological properties:** From another side, high resolution microscopy analyses have provide precisely more information about the products textural properties by visualizing the products morphology that affect directly the physico-chemical properties. It is clear that through these micrographs at different resolutions, we can observe the formation of nanofibers (NFs) having a triangular structured base. These nanostructured uni-dimensional forms (1D) have diameters around [181-363 nm] [3]. However, figure (4a) represent FESEM micrograph of selective MWNTs which present homogeneous cylindrical shapes as nested and twisted filament with diameter around 48 nm as generally found in the literature [36, 37].

The first peak corresponding temperature inferior to 300 °C clearly confirms the Goethite (hydrated iron oxide) transformation into iron oxide; however, 551 °C confirm the functionalized MWNTs combustion [34]. Moreover, this thermal spectra show that this nanocomposite is thermally stable beyond 600 °C. From TGA, the first loss around 6 wt.% is attributed to dehydroxylation of Goethite due to their transformation into hematite, as reported previously in the literature [35]. Nevertheless, the second loss around 20 wt.% is attributed to the oxidation of MWNTs by atmospheric oxygen or iron oxide second phase at around 551 °C which is also consistent with work found in Debski's team publication [26].

From these TA analysis curves, we can conclude that this product is composed of around 70 wt.% Goethite (metal oxide) and 30 wt.% MWNTs (carbon).

**Morphological properties:** From another side, high resolution microscopy analyses have provide precisely more information about the products textural properties by visualizing the products morphology that affect directly the physico-chemical properties. It is clear that through these micrographs at different resolutions, we can observe the formation of nanofibers (NFs) having a triangular structured base. These nanostructured uni-dimensional forms (1D) have diameters around [181-363 nm] [3]. However, figure (4a) represent FESEM micrograph of selective MWNTs which present homogeneous cylindrical shapes as nested and twisted filament with diameter around 48 nm as generally found in the literature [36, 37].

The following figures (4b and 4b') at two different resolutions show the obtained nanocomposite composed of Goethite and MWNTs where we clearly observe the formation of an assembly of two nanostructured forms, carbon nanotubes and Goethite nanofibers which allow the two nanomaterial properties combination basing on carbon with metal transition oxide.

**Optical characteristics:** Absorption spectra as a function of wavelength in the UV–Vis range [200-1100 nm] for these two products Goethite (Fe₃O₄·H₂O) and its nanocomposite (Goethite/MWNTs) synthesized by the hydrothermal method are shown in figure 5. They show a remarkable absorbance with absorption peaks around 290 and 375 nm for Goethite and 274, 363 and 491 nm for its nanocomposite with MWNTs. These peaks illustrate the electronic transitions from valence to conduction band.
Fig. 4: FESEM micrographs of the obtained MWNTs (a), Goethite based nanofibers (NFs) (a’) and their Goethite-NFs/MWNTs nanocomposite (b) and (b’) synthesized with hydrothermal process.

Fig. 5: Absorbance spectra of synthesized Goethite (Fe₂O₃·H₂O) and its nanocomposite (Goethite/MWNTs) using hydrothermal process.

Fig. 6: Gap energy extrapolation for direct and indirect transition in the case of Goethite (a and a’) their nanocomposite Goethite/MWNTs (b and b’).
In addition, we have determined the optical gap energy (Eg) using the following Tauc equation which can allow the estimation of the nature and the occurrence of electronic transitions [38]: 

\[(ahv)^n = A (hv - Eg)\]

Where: \(a\) is the absorption coefficient; \(A\) is a constant; \(hv\) is the photon energy (eV); \(Eg\) is the band gap energy (eV); \(n\) is a constant which depends on the type of the electronic transition (n being equal to 2 for direct and 1/2 for indirect transition).

Figure 6 represents the graphic curves of this Tauc equation from the absorption data of these two products in the two suggested cases of electronic transition (direct or indirect transition). Table III regroup their deduced Eg gap energies for these two cases from these Tauc curves using Origin software (via an extrapolation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELECTRONIC TRANSITION</th>
<th>Geothite (FeO\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}O)</th>
<th>Geothite/MWNTs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECTE</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECTE</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results clearly indicate that these products are semiconductors with Eg < 4 eV. On the other hand the nanocomposite based on Goethite/MWNTs (70:30 wt.% estimated from TGA analysis) should have a higher electrical conductivity than pure Goethite according to its lower deduced Eg in comparison to Goethite values; this is in agreement with reported results found in the literature [39].

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have studied the experimental results obtained through the synthesis of Goethite hydrated iron oxide and their nanocomposite (Goethite/MWNTs) using hydrothermal process under optimized condition. We identified these products from structural and morphological aspect point of view as well as their thermal and optical properties.

Moreover, these products have shown the formation of Goethite based nanostructured fibers (NFs) with triangular base and carbon-based nanotubes (CNTs) with diameters around 200 nm and 48 nm, respectively, with good dispersion and selectivity.

According to the obtained results, it is confirmed that the addition of MWNTs to iron oxide (Goethite type) significantly improves their physico-chemical properties such as the increase in their electrical conductivity proven by the deduced gap energy Eg from their absorption of UV-visible light, which is lower in comparison to that of pure iron oxide. On the other hand, there is a degradation in crystallinity/graphitization degree and an increase in the defects as indicated by XRD and Raman spectroscopy analysis, respectively, proved for the last through the \(I_d/I_g\) intensities ratio and the displacement of peaks position.
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