# Methylene Blue degradation using plasma: A comparative study between the microwave plasma jet over water surface and the nanosecond pulsed discharge in gas bubbles in water

Aloui Nadir and Belgacem Ibtissem

Abstract—This paper investigates the Methylene Blue degradation using two common reactors: the nanosecond pulsed discharge in oxygen bubbles and the Argon Microwave plasma jet above the treated solution. The aim of this study is to compare the evolution of the reactors performance when the electrical conductivity increases. In deionized water, the Methylene Blue degradation rate and the energy efficiency (0.19 min−<sup>1</sup> and 99 mg/kWh, respectively) in the Nanosecond pulsed discharge configuration were significantly higher than the Argon microwave plasma jet (0.08 min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> and 10 mg/kWh). However, when the solution electrical conductivity is increased from 5 to 200 µS/cm, the energy efficiency of the nanosecond pulsed discharge drops significantly by ∼82%, whereas the performance of the microwave plasma jet reactors decreases by ∼37% only for a greater variation of the electrical conductivity of the solution (from 5 to 10 000 µS/cm). Under these conditions the efficiency of both reactors becomes comparable, suggesting the necessity to consider various parameters to compare the reactors efficiency.

*Keywords—*Nanosecond pulsed discharge, Microwave plasma jet discharge, Methylene Blue, electrical conductivity, energy efficiency.

## **NOMENCLATURE**

NPD Nanosecond Pulsed Discharge . Ar MWPJ Argon Microwave Plasma Jet . MB Methylene Blue.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Water pollution is the result of introducing harmful substances into water bodies, causing degradation in their quality. This issue is becoming even more serious due to the increase in human activities such as urbanization [\[1\]](#page-3-0) and industrialization [\[2\]](#page-3-1), which introduce high levels of various pollutants into water resources, often referred to as "emergent pollutants" [\[3–](#page-4-0)[6\]](#page-4-1). The emergent contaminants refer to a broad of compounds present in wastewater at low concentrations (ng/L-µg/L), that not have been previously monitored or regulated but are currently recognized as an important contributors to water pollution. These pollutants could classify into several groups such as: pharmaceuticals, personal care products, pesticides, micro plastics, industrial chemicals and hormone; also, they can be natural or synthetic substances [\[7,](#page-4-2) [8\]](#page-4-3). Despite the lack of knowledge about them,

*Manuscript received June 16, 2024; revised December 23, 2024.*

*N. Aloui is with LRS-EAU Laboratory, Ecole Nationale Polytechnique, nadir.aloui@g.enp.edu.dz)*

*I. Belgacem is with LRS-EAU Laboratory, Ecole Nationale Polytechnique, Algiers, ALGERIA (e-mail: ibtissem.belgacem@gmail.com )*

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): 10.53907/enpesj.v4i2.272

the emergent contaminants are identified as a potential threatens for both environment and human health [\[3,](#page-4-0) [9\]](#page-4-4). Therefore, the detection and the elimination of emergent contaminants is a crucial task nowadays. Recently, the plasma based technology has been proposed as an alternative to eliminate emergent contaminants from wastewater. In fact, when the plasma is coupled with water, large broad of reactive species such as Ozone  $(O_3)$  [\[10\]](#page-4-5), hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  [\[10,](#page-4-5) [11\]](#page-4-6) hydroxyl radical  $(OH)$  [\[12\]](#page-4-7) oxygen atoms  $(O)$  [\[13\]](#page-4-8), among others could be produced making it a promising technology for wastewater treatment. Effectively, the plasma showed a high potential to eliminate emergent pollutants such as: dyes  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$ , pharmaceuticals products  $[16]$  and even pesticides [\[17\]](#page-4-12). However, plasma-based water treatment remains a relatively new technology that requires further development before it can be effectively used at larger scale. At this stage, one of the most critical tasks that should be considered to achieve this purpose is to evaluate the plasma technology for water treatment in order to establish a road map for further research. In this context, Malik, 2010 [\[18\]](#page-4-13) made a comparative study between 27 types of plasma reactors to assess the most efficient configuration for water treatment. In the mentioned work, the energy yield at 50% was used as parameter to assess the plasma reactors performance. The results achieved by this study showed that the reactors efficiency depends on several parameters like the gas composition, the liquid distribution, i.e. deep layer, thin film or water sprayed and finally the signal of the power supply (pulse, DC or AC). Overall, the pulsed discharges in the gas phase and in contact with thin layers or fine droplets of solution are the most efficient reactors. However, despite the very important conclusions highlighted by Malik, 2010 [\[18\]](#page-4-13), the comparison between plasma reactors should be extended to take into consideration other factors. In the present study, a different approach is adopted to compare the performance of two widely employed plasma-based water treatment reactors: the nanosecond pulsed discharge (NPD) reactor operating in gas bubbles within water and the argon microwave plasma jet (Ar MWPJ) in direct contact with the water surface. This comparison focuses on evaluating how the performance of these reactors evolves under varying operating conditions, particularly the electrical conductivity of the treated solution. Electrical conductivity was chosen as the primary variable because, in practical scenarios, the electrical conductivity of wastewater is significantly higher than that of deionized water. By systematically altering this parameter, the study aims to bridge the gap between controlled laboratory conditions and real-world applications, providing insights into the adaptability and efficiency of these reactors under conditions that are similar to actual wastewater compositions. This work highlights the critical role of solution conductivity in Plasma-based water purification, offering a deeper understanding of the challenges facing this technology.

# II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

In this study, we investigate the Methylene Blue (MB) degradation at initial concentration of 5 and 10 mg/L using NPD in  $O_2$ bubbles and the Ar MWPJ above water surface, respectively.



Fig. 1: Schematic of the the Ar MPWJ setup used to degrade MB solution.

The Fig.1 shows the experimental setup of the Ar MWPJ. The energy provided by the microwave generator (SAIREM GMS200W) operating at 2.45 GHz is coupled to the Argon gas (purity >99.995%) using a Surfatron device (SAIREM S-WAVE 6 A). The Argon gas is supplied at a flow rate of 0.5 L/min through a quartz tube with inner and outer diameters of 2 mm and 6 mm, respectively. The experiments are conducted using a closed cell covered with an aluminum circular plate. The quartz tube is inserted into the closed cell through a 7 mm diameter hole in the aluminum plate to generate the MWPJ in contact with 100 mL of MB solution. During the experiments, the compressed air is used to cool the Surfatron device and the quartz tube. The microwave power is maintained at 100 W throughout the process.



**Fig. 2:** Schematic of the the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles setup used to degrade MB solution.

Regarding the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles we used the setup shown in (Fig.2). The discharge is produced using a nanosecond negative polarity pulsed power supply (NSP 120-20-N-500-TG-H, Eagle Harbor Technologies) at an amplitude of -20 kV, a pulse width of 500 ns and a frequency of 1kHz. The high voltage was connected to four hollow-needles-like (inner and outer diameter of 0.3 and 0.5 mm, respectively), whereas the grounded electrode was a stainless-steelwire (1 mm diameter) placed at 8 mm above the needles. A mass flow controller was used to inject  $O_2$ (purity >99.995%) into MB solution through the hollow needles at flow rate of 4 L/min (1 L/min per needle). The volume of the MB solution was 300 mL. 200 mL was filled in the cylindrical cell where the electrodes are mounted, whereas the remaining 100 mL is filled in cell to perform the absorbance measurements. Two pumps (Ismatec™ MS-2/6 Reglo Analog Pump) were utilized at flow rate of 50 mL/min to ensure the MB solution recirculation.

In both configurations, the MB degradation is monitored using an UV-Vis absorption spectrophotometer (Cary 5000 UV–Vis-NIR, Agilent). The decoloration efficiency is assessed based on the absorbance intensity at 664 nm, and the MB concentration was deduced based on a calibration curve. The KCl was used to alter the solution electrical conductivity and the  $H_2O_2$  production is measured is distilled water (without MB) using drop count titration method based on Sodium Thiosulfate titrant.

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### *A. MB degradation*

The (Fig.3a) shows the evolution of the normalized absorbance (at 664 nm) as function of plasma processing time for both configurations the Ar MWPJ and the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles. The results show that the MB degradation efficiency is considerably higher in the NPD than the Ar MWPJ; the  $t_{50\%}$  which represents the time required to eliminate 50% of MB molecules is 15 min in the Ar MWPJ configuration. Whereas in the NPD reactor the  $t_{50\%}$  is only 5min.

To estimate the MB degradation rate, a kinetic study is carried out. Since the MB concentration decreases exponentially during processing (Fig.3a), we assume that its degradation is described by first order kinetics [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
-ln(C_t/C_O) = kt \tag{1}
$$

With  $C_t$  (mg/L) is MB concentration at time t,  $C_0$  (mg/L) is the MB initial concentration and  $k$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the first order rate

constant.



Fig. 3: (a) Temporal evolution of the normalized absorbance (at 664 nm) of MB solution in the Ar MWPJ and NPD in  $O_2$ bubbles reactors. (b) First order kinetics of MB degradation solution in the Ar MWPJ and NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles reactors.

The results of the kinetic study (Fig.3b) show that the correlation coefficient  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is 0.95 and 0.998 in the Ar MWPJ and the nanosecond pulsed discharge configurations, respectively. This confirms our assumption indicating that MB degradation is represented by first-order kinetics.

The constant degradation rate in Ar MWPJ is  $0.08 \text{ min}^{-1}$ , whereas, in the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles, it is 0.19 min<sup>-1</sup>. These results demonstrate that the MB degradation in this latter configuration is ∼2.5 time faster than the Ar MWPJ. To understand the reason behind the superiority of the NPD in MB degradation, the  $H_2O_2$  production in water (without MB) is measured during plasma processing. The presence of  $H_2O_2$  in water indicates the production of hydroxyl  $(OH)$  radicals which are known to be responsible for the degradation of MB [\[19,](#page-4-14) [20\]](#page-4-15).

The (Fig.4) shows the  $H_2O_2$  production as function of plasma processing in both configurations. In the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles, the  $H_2O_2$  production increases linearly after 30 min of processing to reach ∼54 mg/L with rate of ∼1.9 mg/Lmin. On the other hand, in the Ar MWPJ, the  $H_2O_2$  production reaches 20 mg/L after 40 min of processing with rate of ∼0.5 mg/Lmin. After this period no further increase in  $H_2O_2$  production is registered.



Fig. 4:  $H_2O_2$  production using the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles and the Ar MWPJ.

The high production rate of  $H_2O_2$  in the NPD reactor indicate

2716-912X © 2024 Ecole Nationale Polytechnique

that this configuration produces more  $OH$  radicals, explaining thus, the high MB degradation rate observed in this reactor. In fact, when the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles is used, the oxygen atoms could be produced [\(2\)](#page-2-0) to generate supplementary OH radicals [\(3\)](#page-2-1) and ozone  $(O_3)$  [\(4\)](#page-2-2) [\[21\]](#page-4-16). This latter  $(O_3)$  play an important role in MB degradation [\[22\]](#page-4-17) by a direct oxidization or by generating more *OH* radicals when reacting with  $H_2O_2$  [\(5\)](#page-2-3) [\[23\]](#page-4-18). Overall, the production of O atoms and  $O_3$  in the NPD configuration can enhance the OH production which is beneficial for MB degradation. Besides the ozone production, the generation of the discharge in gas bubbles enhances the mass transfer of reactive species to the liquid bulk, making this configuration more efficient.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
e + O_2 \to 2O + e \tag{2}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
O + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH \tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
O + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 \tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
O_3 + H_2O_2 \rightarrow OH + HO_2 + O_2 \tag{5}
$$

# *B. Energy efficiency*

The energy yield at 50% ( $Y_{50\%}$ ) is used in this study to assess the energy efficiency of the studied configurations (NPD in  $O<sub>2</sub>$  bubbles and the Ar MWPJ). This parameter represents the amount of eliminated contaminants per unit of delivered energy at 50% degradation of MB, and it is expressed by equation [\(6\)](#page-2-4) [\[18\]](#page-4-13):

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
Y_{50\%} = \frac{V \times C_0 \times \frac{C_0 - C}{C_0}}{P \times t_{50\%}}
$$
 (6)

With V(L) is the volume of MB solution,  $C_0(mg/L)$  is the initial concentration, C(mg/L) is the MB concentration at 50% of conversion, P(kW) is the delivered power, and  $t_{50\%}$ (h) is the time to reach 50% of MB degradation.

The delivered power by the microwave generator was set at 100 W, whereas in the NPD in O2 bubbles, we measured the dissipated power using a monitor that was added to the plug wall where the pulse generator is connected. The consumed power in that case was 181 W.

The table I shows once again the superiority of the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles compared to Ar MWPJ; the Y<sub>50%</sub> in NPD in  $O_2$ bubbles (99 mg/kWh) is 10 times higher than the Ar MWPJ (10 mg/kWh). This significant difference between the two reactors is not attributed only the fast MB degradation in the NPD configuration, but also due to the physic of both plasmas. In the Ar MWPJ an important portion of power is dissipated to heat the surrounding air, resulting in a local temperature increase. This is evident from the previous experimental results who indicated that the plasma gas temperature can reach very high values (few thousands of Kelvin) [\[15,](#page-4-10)[24,](#page-4-19)[25\]](#page-4-20). On the other hand, in the NPD, the short duration of the voltage pulse allows only electrons the gain a high energy to initiate the ionization process, whereas the heavier ions remains close to room temperatures  $[18]$ . This feature indicates that the NPD allows plasma ignition with less energy dissipation, explaining the high energy efficiency of this configuration.

Table. I THE ENERGY YIELD  $(Y_{50\%})$  OF THE NPD IN  $O_2$  bubbles AND THE AR MPWJ

Configuration	$t_{50\%}$ (min)	P(W)	$Y_{50\%}$ (mg/kWh)
NPD in $O_2$ bubbles		181	QQ
Ar MWPJ	15	100	10

# *C. The effect of electrical conductivity on the reactors performance*

Most of the previous studies investigated the plasma based water treatment using deionized water, but in reality the wastewaters exhibit very high electrical conductivities. Therefore, it is crucial to assess the reactors efficiency under conditions of high values of solution electrical conductivity. Herein, we investigate the impact of this parameter on the performance of the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles and the Ar MWPJ. It is worth to mention that during our experiments we observed that increasing the electrical conductivity beyond 200 µS/cm in the NPD configuration prevents plasma ignition, probably due to the fast current dissipation in the liquid [\[26\]](#page-4-21). On the other hand, the electrodeless design of the Ar MWPJ allowed increasing the solution conductivity to very high values ( $> 50000 \mu$ S/cm) without altering the plasma jet ignition. At this stage, we believe that the Ar MWPJ is more suitable for highly conductive solutions.



Fig. 5: Effect of the solution electrical conductivity on the MB degradation rate in: a) the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles, and b) the Ar MWPJ

The Fig.5a and Fig.5b illustrate the effect of the electrical conductivity on MB degradation in the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles and the Ar MPWJ, respectively. In the former configuration, increasing the electrical conductivity to 200µS/cm decreases the MB degradation rate considerably where the  $t_{50\%}$  increases from 5 min at deionized water (5µS/cm) to 30 min at 200 µS/cm. this reduction could be explained by the fact that at high electrical conductivity the contact plasma-water is reduced (due to short plasma channels) which reduces the reactive species production. Regarding the Ar MWPJ configuration, the initial solution conductivity is adjusted at 10 000 μS/cm (for  $\sigma$ < 10- 000 μS/cm no significant change in the MB degradation rate is observed). At this electrical conductivity, the MB degradation rate decreases; the t<sub>50%</sub> increases from 15 min at deionized water (5  $\mu$ S/cm) to 24 min at 10 000 µS/cm. In fact, this reduction is considered minor compared to the significant increase in solution electrical conductivity. Moreover, the observed reduction in the MB degradation rate is not caused by the presence of ionic products in general, but mainly by Cl<sup>−</sup> ions (Provided from KCl dissociation) that have an inhibitory effect on  $OH$  radicals [\[27–](#page-4-22)[29\]](#page-4-23).

The table II shows the variation of the energy yield  $(Y_{50\%})$ as function of the electrical conductivity. The  $Y_{50\%}$  in the NPD configuration drops significantly by 82% (from 99 to 17 mg/kWh) when the electrical conductivity is increased from 5 to 200  $\mu$ S/cm, whereas in the Ar MWPJ, the Y<sub>50%</sub> drops only by 37% to reach 6.3 mg/kWh when the electrical conductivity is increased to 10 000 µS/cm. Overall, the results discussed in this section suggest the possibility to use the Ar MWPJ configuration for solutions with high electrical conductivities. On the other hand, the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles loses its efficiency rapidly for small electrical conductivity variations. These observations highlight the necessity to consider different operating conditions to assess the reactors performance.

### Table. II

THE EFFECT OF ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY ON THE EN-ERGY YIELD  $(Y_{50\%})$  IN THE NPD IN  $O_2$  bubbles and the AR MWPJ CONFIGURATIONS.

<b>Configuration</b>	NPD in $O_2$ bubbles		Ar MPW.I	
conductivity $(\mu S/cm)$		200		10 000
$Y_{50\%}(mg/kWh)$	99	17	10	6.3

## IV. CONCLUSION

In the present study, we investigated the MB degradation using two configurations: the NPD in  $O_2$  bubbles and the Ar MWPJ above the water surface. In the deionized water, the NPD in  $O<sub>2</sub>$  bubbles demonstrated superior MB degradation because of its ability to generate higher concentration of reactive species such as  $O_3$ , O, OH and  $H_2O_2$ . Furthermore, the energy yield  $(Y_{50\%})$  at 50% demonstrated that the energy efficiency in the NPD in  $O_2$  is higher compared to the Ar MWPJ (99 vs 10) mg/kWh, respectively). Unlike the Ar MWPJ, where a significant portion of power is dissipated to heat to the surrounding environment, the NPD ensures plasma ignition with minimal power dissipation, making it highly effective in terms of energy efficiency.

the other hand, at high solution conductivities, the NPD configuration prevents plasma ignition which causes a significant drop of energy efficiency that decreases from 99 mg/kWh in deionized water to achieve 17 mg/kWh at 200 µS/cm, whereas the performance of the Ar MWPJ drops by 37% only (from 10 to 6.3 mg/kWh) when the electrical conductivity is increased to 10 000 µS/cm . These findings suggest the possibility to use Ar MWPJ configuration for high conductive solutions.

The results of this study reveal the complexity of the comparison task between plasma reactors, suggesting thus the necessity to take into consideration other parameters to properly estimate the reactors performance.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[1] H. Rashid, M. M. Manzoor, S. Mukhtar, *et al.*, "Urbanization and its effects on water resources: An exploratory analysis," *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 67–74, 2018.
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[2] H. Hayzoun, C. Garnier, G. Durrieu, V. Lenoble, C. Bancon-Montigny, A. Ouammou, and S. Mounier, "Impact of rapid urbanisation and industrialisation on river sediment metal contamination," *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, vol. 186, pp. 2851–2865, 2014.
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[3] C.-C. Lee, C.-Y. Hsieh, C. S. Chen, and C.-J. Tien, "Emergent" contaminants in sediments and fishes from the tamsui river (taiwan): Their spatial-temporal distribution and risk to aquatic ecosystems and human health," *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 258, p. 113733, 2020.
- [4] H. B. Quesada, A. T. A. Baptista, L. F. Cusioli, D. Seibert, C. de Oliveira Bezerra, and R. Bergamasco, "Surface water pollution by pharmaceuticals and an alternative of removal by low-cost adsorbents: A review," *Chemosphere*, vol. 222, pp. 766–780, 2019.
- [5] D. Montes-Grajales, M. Fennix-Agudelo, and W. Miranda-Castro, "Occurrence of personal care products as emerging chemicals of concern in water resources: A review," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 595, pp. 601–614, 2017.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[6] J. Derksen, G. Rijs, and R. Jongbloed, "Diffuse pollution of surface water by pharmaceutical products," *Water Science and Technology*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 213–221, 2004.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[7] N. Morin-Crini, E. Lichtfouse, G. Liu, V. Balaram, A. R. L. Ribeiro, Z. Lu, F. Stock, E. Carmona, M. R. Teixeira, L. A. Picos-Corrales, *et al.*, "Worldwide cases of water pollution by emerging contaminants: a review," *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 2311–2338, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[8] A. R. Bracamontes-Ruelas, L. A. Ordaz-Díaz, A. M. Bailón-Salas, J. C. Ríos-Saucedo, Y. Reyes-Vidal, and L. Reynoso-Cuevas, "Emerging pollutants in wastewater, advanced oxidation processes as an alternative treatment and perspectives," *Processes*, vol. 10, no. 5, p. 1041, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[9] R. K. Mishra, S. S. Mentha, Y. Misra, and N. Dwivedi, "Emerging pollutants of severe environmental concern in water and wastewater: A comprehensive review on current developments and future research," *Water-Energy Nexus*, 2023.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[10] P. Lukes, A. T. Appleton, and B. R. Locke, "Hydrogen peroxide and ozone formation in hybrid gas-liquid electrical discharge reactors," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 60–67, 2004.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[11] A. Anpilov, E. Barkhudarov, Y. B. Bark, Y. V. Zadiraka, M. Christofi, Y. N. Kozlov, I. Kossyi, V. Kop'Ev, V. Silakov, M. Taktakishvili, *et al.*, "Electric discharge in water as a source of uv radiation, ozone and hydrogen peroxide," *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, vol. 34, no. 6, p. 993, 2001.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[12] M. Sahni and B. R. Locke, "Quantification of hydroxyl radicals produced in aqueous phase pulsed electrical discharge reactors," *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, vol. 45, no. 17, pp. 5819–5825, 2006.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[13] T. J. Manning, "Production of ozone in an electrical discharge using inert gases as catalysts," 2000.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[14] J. Diamond, J. Profili, and A. Hamdan, "Characterization of various air plasma discharge modes in contact with water and their effect on the degradation of reactive dyes," *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, vol. 39, pp. 1483–1498, 2019.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[15] A. Hamdan, J.-L. Liu, and M. S. Cha, "Microwave plasma jet in water: Characterization and feasibility to wastewater treatment," *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, vol. 38, pp. 1003– 1020, 2018.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[16] M. Magureanu, D. Piroi, N. B. Mandache, V. David, A. Medvedovici, and V. I. Parvulescu, "Degradation of pharmaceutical compound pentoxifylline in water by non-thermal plasma treatment," *Water research*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 3445–3453, 2010.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[17] M. Magureanu, C. Bradu, and V. Parvulescu, "Plasma processes for the treatment of water contaminated with harmful organic compounds," *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, vol. 51, no. 31, p. 313002, 2018.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[18] M. A. Malik, "Water purification by plasmas: Which reactors are most energy efficient?," *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, vol. 30, pp. 21–31, 2010.
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[19] L. O. de Brito Benetoli, B. M. Cadorin, V. Z. Baldissarelli, R. Geremias, I. G. de Souza, and N. A. Debacher, "Pyriteenhanced methylene blue degradation in non-thermal plasma water treatment reactor," *Journal of hazardous materials*, vol. 237, pp. 55–62, 2012.
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[20] R. Zhou, T. Zhang, R. Zhou, A. Mai-Prochnow, S. B. Ponraj, Z. Fang, H. Masood, J. Kananagh, D. McClure, D. Alam, *et al.*, "Underwater microplasma bubbles for efficient and simultaneous degradation of mixed dye pollutants," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 750, p. 142295, 2021.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[21] M. Magureanu, D. Piroi, F. Gherendi, N. B. Mandache, and V. Parvulescu, "Decomposition of methylene blue in water by corona discharges," *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, vol. 28, pp. 677–688, 2008.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[22] L. Grabowski, E. Van Veldhuizen, A. Pemen, and W. Rutgers, "Breakdown of methylene blue and methyl orange by pulsed corona discharge," *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 226, 2007.
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[23] P. Lukes and B. R. Locke, "Plasmachemical oxidation processes in a hybrid gas–liquid electrical discharge reactor," *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, vol. 38, no. 22, p. 4074, 2005.
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[24] C. Schopp, F. Nachtrodt, H. Heuermann, U. W. Scherer, D. Mostacci, T. Finger, and W. Tietsch, "A novel 2.45 ghz/200 w microwave plasma jet for high temperature applications above 3600 k," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 406, p. 012029, IOP Publishing, 2012.
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[25] J. Amaro-Gahete, F. J. Romero-Salguero, and M. C. Garcia, "Modified surfatron device to improve microwave-plasma-assisted generation of rons and methylene blue degradation in water," *Chemosphere*, vol. 349, p. 140820, 2024.
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[26] K.-Y. Shih and B. R. Locke, "Optical and electrical diagnostics of the effects of conductivity on liquid phase electrical discharge," *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 883– 892, 2011.
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[27] T. M. Elmorsi, Y. M. Riyad, Z. H. Mohamed, and H. M. Abd El Bary, "Decolorization of mordant red 73 azo dye in water using h2o2/uv and photo-fenton treatment," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 174, no. 1-3, pp. 352–358, 2010.
- [28] N.-y. Gao, Y. Deng, and D. Zhao, "Ametryn degradation in the ultraviolet (uv) irradiation/hydrogen peroxide (h2o2) treatment," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 164, no. 2-3, pp. 640–645, 2009.
- <span id="page-4-23"></span>[29] R. Yuan, S. N. Ramjaun, Z. Wang, and J. Liu, "Concentration profiles of chlorine radicals and their significances in• oh-induced dye degradation: kinetic modeling and reaction pathways," *Chemical engineering journal*, vol. 209, pp. 38–45, 2012.

Aloui Nadir was born in Annaba, Algeria, in 1995. He received the Engineer's degrees in Hydraulic Engineering from Ecole Nationale Polytechnique (ENP) of Algiers in 2019. He is currently a Ph.D. student at the Hydraulic Department at the same Engineering School. His current research interests include interaction of plasma with liquid.

Belgacem Ibtissem Earned her Ph.D. degree from University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene, Algiers, Algeria. Her current research interests include CFD, two phase flow and plasma interaction with two phase medium.